

Supplemental Data for:

Baby T, Collins C, Tyerman SD and Gilliham M. 2016.

Salinity negatively affects pollen tube growth and fruit set in grapevines and is not mitigated by silicon.

Am J Enol Vitic 67:218-228. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2015.15004.

Supplementary Table 1 Treatment nutrient solutions.^a

Ions	Nutrient solution A Control		Nutrient solution B + 1.5 mM K ₂ SiO ₃		Nutrient solution C + 35 mM NaCl		Nutrient solution D + 1.5 mM K ₂ SiO ₃ + 35 mM NaCl	
	Concn	Activity*	Concn	Activity*	Concn	Activity*	Concn	Activity*
K ⁺	3	2.64	2.98	2.6	3.32	2.67	3.28	2.6
Ca ²⁺	3.8	1.38	3.9	1.36	4.4	1.3	4.6	1.3
Mg ²⁺	0.6	0.36	0.6	0.34	0.78	0.32	0.71	0.29
NH ₄ ⁺	0.5	0.44	0.5	0.44	0.5	0.41	0.5	0.4
Cl ⁻	3.1	2.7	2.2	1.9	39.1	31.5	38.2	30.7
NO ₃ ⁻	7	6.18	6.8	6	7.6	6.1	7.5	6.1
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.613	0.3	1.41	0.7	0.799	0.28	1.53	0.55
H ₂ PO ₄ ⁻	1	0.1	1	0.11	0.92	0.12	1	0.12
Na ⁺	0.0862	0.076	0.0862	0.075	35.1	28.3	35.1	28.2
FeEDTA ⁻	0.035	7.95 μM	0.035	8.5 μM	0.052	7.6 μM	0.053	7.6 μM
*Mn ²⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zn ²⁺	0.012	3.6 nM	0.012	3.5 nM	0.017	2.6 nM	0.078	2.7 nM
Cu ²⁺	0.0012	1.1 pM	0.0012	1.1 pM	0.0018	0.98 pM	0.0019	0.98 pM
B	0.02	20 μM	0.02	20 μM	0.02	20 μM	0.02	20 μM
MoO ₄ ²⁻	0.0001	38 nM	0.0001	39 nM	0.00012	39 nM	0.00012	39 nM
H ₄ SiO ₄	0	0	1.5	0.096	0	0	1.5	0.096

^aThe four treatments (nutrient solutions) consisted of **A** (control: modified Hoagland's solution); **B** (modified Hoagland's solution with 1.5 mM K₂SiO₃ added); **C** (modified Hoagland's solution with 35mM NaCl added); and **D** (modified Hoagland's solution with 35 mM NaCl and 1.5 mM K₂SiO₃ added). The pH of each nutrient solution was adjusted to 5.8 using either 1M NaOH or 1M H₂SO₄ solution. In each treatment solution, the activity of all nutrients except Na⁺, Cl⁻ and Si (H₄SiO₄) was adjusted to be similar to that of the modified half-strength Hoagland's solution as calculated using Visual Minteq, version 2.52. *All values are in mM unless stated otherwise. Preliminary experiments showed that high concentrations of Mn from the growing medium accumulated in leaves. Thus, no additional Mn was included in the nutrient solutions.

Supplementary Table 2 Influence of silicon (Si) on grapevine physiology and growth.^a

Parameters	Si treatment		P value	LSD (5%)
	- Si	+ Si		
Shoot fresh weight (g)	173 a	162 a	0.106	ns
Root fresh weight (g)	33 a	26 b	0.018	5.8
Total leaf area (six leaves, mm ²)	583 a	583 a	0.968	ns
Stomatal conductance (mmol H ₂ O/m ² /s)	315 a	228 b	0.042	83.8
Transpiration rate (mmol H ₂ O/m ² /s)	2.1 a	1.7 b	0.001	0.22
Net C assimilation rate (μmol CO ₂ /m ² /s)	8.5 a	8.6 a	0.792	ns
Leaf water content (%)	90 a	91 b	0.004	0.2
Root water content (%)	88 a	88 a	0.525	ns

^aOne-way ANOVA was performed, and significant differences between treatments were determined at $p < 0.05$. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments. Values are means of 12 replicates. LSD, least significant difference between treatment means; ns, not significant; + Si, 1.5 mM Si added; - Si, no Si added.